AGA: Attribute-Guided Augmentation



Mandar Dixit UC San Diego



Roland Kwitt University of Salzburg



Marc Niethammer UNC Chapel Hill



Nuno Vasconcelos UC San Diego

Visual Recognition



Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) + Large-scale datasets



Challenge(s): appearance, pose, location invariance

Data Augmentation

Augmentation in **IMAGE space**



Original

Flip

(Random) Crops

Crop + Flip

- Transformed copies of the original image
- Possible with simple image processing

[Chatfield et al. 2014] [Zeiler & Fergus 2014] [Krishevsky et al. 2012]

Guided Data Augmentation





3D CAD based rendering [Peng et al. 2015]



3D Motion Capture Synthesize [Charalombous et. al. 2016], [Rogez & Schmid 2016]

Synthesis of non-trivial variations

- Either trivial in stimuli, e.g., digits
- Or require rendering from 3D
- Image space high dimensional
- Learning needs lot of data

Objective



.

Objective



Augmentation in space of CNN activations

Data Augmentation

Augmentation in **FEATURE space**

- Inherits all the invariance of a CNN's representation
- Deep representations "unfold" the manifold of images [Bengio et al. 2012] (e.g., very recently leveraged in [Upchurch et al. 2016])
- Augmentation is performed in a space where trajectories of variation are "easier" to learn (e.g., with less data)

This is the strategy we advocate in this work!

A Regression / Synthesis Problem



Learn trajectories in feature space

CNN activation space

A Regression / Synthesis Problem



Transfer trajectories to unseen objects

CNN activation space

Assumption



- Trajectories are parametrized by object pose and depth: Attributes
- Attributes assume a scalar value denoted by γ

Problem Formulation



Problem Formulation



Problem Formulation



Training for Synthesis



To **train** the generator for a given pair (t_0, t) we keep the object CNN and the attribute predictor frozen.

Training Loss for Synthesis



The loss minimizes attribute mismatch between the synthesized sample and the desired attribute value.

Training Loss for Synthesis



... and **restrains** the synthesized sample to lie in the neighborhood of the original sample to preserve object identity.

Training Loss for Synthesis



Note that learning only needs the given example \mathbf{x} and a scalar value tNo paired data points

Training for Synthesis: Implementation

SUN RGBD dataset [Song et al. 2015]



Images of 19 object classes along and their bounding boxes

Attributes: object pose and depth

obtained from labeled 3D information

Training for Synthesis: Implementation

SUN RGBD dataset



Training for Synthesis: Implementation



Application: Transfer-Learning



Source dataset

Source data : Object images with attribute labels

• 19 classes of SUN RGBD + depth & pose

Target data : Unseen object classes, no annotations

• 10 class subsets (T1, T2) from SUN RGBD



Application: One / Few-Shot Transfer



Source dataset

Source data:

Training RCNN, Attribute predictor, Feature generator

Target data : Used for **one-shot** / **few-shot** object recognition

• Linear SVM trained with source RCNN activations



For every image in target dataset, we

- 1. generate RCNN features: x
- 2. predict it's depth / pose: t_0
- 3. synthesize features $\phi(\mathbf{x}, t_0, t)$ for a range of desired depths / poses t

Datasets	Baseline*
T1	33.74
T2	23.76
T1 and T2	22.84

For every image in target dataset, we

- 1. generate RCNN features: x
- 2. predict it's depth / pose: t_0
- 3. synthesize features $\phi(\mathbf{x}, t_0, t)$ for a range of desired depths / poses t

Datasets	Baseline*	Pose Aug.
T1	33.74	37.25
T2	23.76	27.15
T1 and T2	22.84	24.34

For every image in target dataset, we

- 1. generate RCNN features: x
- 2. predict it's depth / pose: t_0
- 3. synthesize features $\phi(\mathbf{x}, t_0, t)$ for a range of desired depths / poses t

Datasets	Baseline*	Pose Aug.	Depth Aug.
T1	33.74	37.25	38.32
T2	23.76	27.15	28.49
T1 and T2	22.84	24.34	25.52

For every image in target dataset, we

- 1. generate RCNN features: x
- 2. predict it's depth / pose: t_0
- 3. synthesize features $\phi(\mathbf{x}, t_0, t)$ for a range of desired depths / poses t

Datasets	Baseline*	Pose Aug.	Depth Aug.	D + P.	
T1	33.74	37.25	38.32	39.10	+5.36
T2	23.76	27.15	28.49	30.12	+6.36
T1 and T2	22.84	24.34	25.52	26.67	+3.83

We repeat the previous experiment with 5 instances per target class

	D + P.	Depth Aug.	Pose Aug.	Baseline*	Datasets
+6.89	56.92	53.83	55.04	50.03	T1
+10.28	47.04	42.68	44.57	36.76	T2
+5.50	42.87	39.36	40.46	37.37	T1 and T2

In summary, we observe gains similar to one-shot (in the range of 5-10 points)

Object-based Scene Representation



Problem: weak encoding due to few detections / image (more so in few-shot case)

Object-based Scene Representation



Deploy pre-trained pose & depth guided synthesis to multiply the features

Application: One-shot Scene Recognition



Source Data: SUN RGBD

• Train feature synthesis

Target Data: Subset of MIT Indoor Scenes [Quattoni et al. 2012]

- Local feature extraction + depth / pose guided synthesis
- Scene Representation (Fisher vector) [Perronnin et al. 2010]
- Combined with state-of-the-art rep.: Places [Zhou et al. 2014], Sem-FV [Dixit et al. 2015]

Application: One-shot Scene Recognition

Approaches	Accuracy (%)
Sem-FV [Dixit et al. 2015]	32.75
AGA-augmented Sem-FV	34.36

Application: One-shot Scene Recognition

Approaches	Accuracy (%)
Sem-FV [Dixit et al. 2015]	32.75
AGA-augmented Sem-FV	34.36
Places CNN [Zhou et.al. 2014]	51.28
AGA-augmented Places CNN	52.11

Accuracy of Sem-FV and Places CNN representations improves non-trivially!

Conclusion

- We propose a technique for attribute-guided data augmentation (AGA)
- Augmentation in feature space of a CNN
 - Low complexity learning
 - Inherits the invariance of a pre-trained CNN
- Augmentation: Regression along attribute trajectories
 - Trajectories once learned can be transferred to unseen objects
- Attribute trajectory learning
 - Attribute predictor MLP
 - Feature regressor MLP
 - Training needs no paired examples
- Augmentation improves one shot/few-shot recognition

Thank You!

Source code (+ trained models for pose / depth) is publicly available!

This work is supported by **NSF** awards IIS-1208522, CCF-0830535 and ECCS-1148870. We also thank **NVIDIA** for the generous donation of TitanX GPUs.



Supplementary Material

Implementation

- Framework: Torch
- Optimizer: ADAM [Kingma & Ba, 2015]

	Attribute strength predictor	Encoder-Decoder* (per attribute interval [a,b] to desired target t)
Learning rate	0.001	0.001
Batch size	300	300
Epochs	50	50
Loss	MSE	Weighted MSE (0.7 regularizer + 0.3 mismatch)

*pre-trained using all available data

Quality of Synthesized Examples

Objects (T1)	Correlation coefficient	MAE (Depth [m])	Correlation coefficient	MAE (Pose [degrees])
Picture	0.67	0.08	0.65	5.13
Ottoman	0.70	0.09	0.70	4.41
Whiteboard	0.67	0.12	0.65	4.43
Fridge	0.69	0.10	0.68	4.48
Counter	0.76	0.08	0.77	3.98
Book	0.74	0.08	0.73	4.26
Stove	0.71	0.10	0.71	4.50
Cabinet	0.74	0.09	0.72	3.99
Printer	0.73	0.08	0.72	4.59
Computer	0.81	0.06	0.80	3.73
Average	0.72	0.09	0.71	4.35

Quality of Synthesized Examples

Retrieval experiment	Objects (T1)	Тор-1	R ²
	Picture	0.33	0.36
• Using synthesized samples we retrieve the	Ottoman	0.60	0.12
nearest real sample from target database.	Whiteboard	0.12	0.30
 Results expressed in Top-1 retrieval accuracy (object class) Coeff. of determination (attribute strength) 	Fridge	0.26	0.08
	Counter	0.64	0.18
	Books	0.52	0.07
	Stove	0.20	0.13
	Cabinet	0.57	0.27
Reasonable predictability of attr. strength for	Printer	0.31	0.02
most objects classes.	Computer	0.94	0.26
In other of least class identifies is noteined.	Average	0.72	0.09

• In others, at least class identity is retained